

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,504	10/07/2003	Takashi Miyoshi	17104	5503
23389 7	590 01/21/2005		EXAMINER	
SCULLY SC 400 GARDEN	OTT MURPHY & PF	PERKEY, WILLIAM B		
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2851	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/680,504	MIYOSHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William B. Perkey	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 D	ecember 2004.					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-26 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14,19-21 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-18 and 22-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
200 and account a mod added for a not of the domined depice for federal.						
Attachment(s)	л П <b>.</b>	(DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/09/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2851

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word - - unit - - should be inserted after the word "projecting" in claim 17 line 5. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 17, 18, 24 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huber (U.S. Patent No. 5,561,526).

Huber et al. discloses a 3-D photography apparatus that includes photography cameras at 17 and 19 and a projecting unit 27. The boundary paths for the cameras and projecting unit correspond to applicants' Fig. 16.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2851

5. Claims 15-18 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyoshi (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0118970 A1) in view of Huber et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,561,526).

Miyoshi shows an adapter for a 3-D camera system showing a photographing unit as elements 10 and 11 and a projecting unit 2 in the adapter 10. Miyoshi shows the claimed invention except for the claimed view angles of the viewpoints and the projection device. Miyoshi does not go into that kind of detail in his disclosure. Huber et al shows a 3-D photography system that shows the projection view angle and the angles of view of the viewpoints, which is similar to applicant's Fig. 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to adapt similar angles of view for the projector and viewpoints of Miyoshi in order to obtain the desirable feature of having the projection pattern covering the subject and the cameras obtaining a complete image of the desired subject.

### Response to Amendment

6. Putting the limitation "in accordance with" in claims 15-18 and 22-26 does not overcome the above rejection. In the previous Office action dependent claim 9 was indicated as allowable primarily because of the claim limitation that the angle of the illuminating unit is changed in accordance with the overlapped area. Applicant's, in the remarks, assert that claims 15-18 and 22-26 are allowable for the same reasons as claim 9. Claim 9 sets forth an adjusting unit to adjust the illumination angle. Claims 15-18 and 22-26 do not set forth any angle adjusting unit to adjust or change the illumination angle or to change the projection angle in accordance with

Art Unit: 2851

...... Amending these independent claims to contain such subject matter would place them in condition for allowance.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-4, 6-14, 19-21 and 26 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# **Telephone Numbers**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William B. Perkey whose telephone number is (571) 272-2126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William B. Perkey Primary Examiner

WBP:wbp